

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 Northern District of California

3

4 RAYMOND J. CASTAIN,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,

8 Defendant.

9

10 No. C 11-01816 MEJ

11 **SECOND ORDER DIRECTING
12 PLAINTIFF TO FILE
CONSENT/DECLINATION FORM**

13 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff Raymond Castain's in forma pauperis application. On
14 April 19, 2011, the Court ordered Plaintiff to a written consent to Magistrate Judge James'
15 jurisdiction or request for reassignment to a district judge. Plaintiff has failed to respond by the May
16 10, 2011 deadline.

17 In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the magistrate judges of this district court are
18 designated to conduct any and all proceedings in a civil case, including trial and entry of final
19 judgment, upon the consent of the parties. An appeal from a judgment entered by Magistrate Judge
20 James may be taken directly to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in the same
21 manner as an appeal from any other judgment of a district court.

22 You have the right to have your case assigned to a United States District Judge for trial and
23 disposition. Accordingly, Plaintiff shall inform the Court whether he consents to Magistrate Judge
24 James' jurisdiction or requests reassignment to a United States District Judge for trial. A copy of
25 both the consent and declination forms may be obtained from the Northern District of California's
26 website at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov/>. From the homepage, choose "Forms" and then "Civil
27 Forms." The consent/declination form shall be filed by May 26, 2011. Failure to comply with this
28 Order may result in the imposition of sanctions, including dismissal of Plaintiff's case.

29 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

30

31 Dated: May 12, 2011

32

33 
34 Maria-Elena James
35 Chief United States Magistrate Judge